

known as the Triad Region: Now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Senate—

(1) expresses support for the International Home Furnishings Market in High Point, North Carolina;

(2) commends those who organize and participate in the International Home Furnishings Market for their contributions to economic growth and vitality in North Carolina; and

(3) recognizes that the International Home Furnishings Market has a positive economic impact on North Carolina and is vital to a region and State adversely affected by a decline in traditional manufacturing.

AMENDMENTS SUBMITTED & PROPOSED

SA 538. Mr. CORNYN submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 375 proposed by Mr. CRAIG (for himself and Mr. KENNEDY) to the bill H.R. 1268, Making emergency supplemental appropriations for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2005, to establish and rapidly implement regulations for State driver's license and identification document security standards, to prevent terrorists from abusing the asylum laws of the United States, to unify terrorism-related grounds for inadmissibility and removal, to ensure expeditious construction of the San Diego border fence, and for other purposes; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 539. Mr. CORNYN submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 375 proposed by Mr. CRAIG (for himself and Mr. KENNEDY) to the bill H.R. 1268, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 540. Mr. CORNYN submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill H.R. 1268, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 541. Mr. KYL submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 375 proposed by Mr. CRAIG (for himself and Mr. KENNEDY) to the bill H.R. 1268, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 542. Mr. KYL submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 387 proposed by Ms. MIKULSKI (for herself, Mr. ALLEN, Mr. LEAHY, Mr. CORZINE, Mr. WARNER, Mr. JEFFORDS, Mr. SARBANES, Mr. DAYTON, Mr. KENNEDY, Ms. LANDRIEU, Mr. REED, Mr. LAUTENBERG, Mr. FEINGOLD, Mr. DORGAN, Mr. KERRY, Mr. CONRAD, Mr. THOMAS, Mr. STEVENS, Mr. DEWINE, Mr. COLEMAN, Ms. SNOWE, and Ms. COLLINS) to the bill H.R. 1268, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 543. Mr. REED (for himself and Mr. CHAFEE) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 375 proposed by Mr. CRAIG (for himself and Mr. KENNEDY) to the bill H.R. 1268, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 544. Mr. REED (for himself and Mr. CHAFEE) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 432 proposed by Mr. CHAMBLISS (for himself and Mr. KYL) to the bill H.R. 1268, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 545. Mr. WYDEN submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 376 submitted by Mr. WYDEN (for himself, Mr. SMITH, and Mrs. MURRAY) and intended to be proposed to the bill H.R. 1268, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 546. Mr. CHAMBLISS submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 375 proposed by Mr. CRAIG (for himself and Mr. KENNEDY) to the bill H.R. 1268, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 547. Mr. COCHRAN (for Mr. BOND) proposed an amendment to the bill H.R. 1268, supra.

SA 548. Mr. COCHRAN (for Mr. LEAHY) proposed an amendment to the bill H.R. 1268, supra.

SA 549. Mr. BAUCUS submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 475 submitted by Mr. CRAIG (for himself, Mr. BAUCUS, Mr. ROBERTS, and Mr. ENZI) and intended to be proposed to the bill H.R. 1268, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 550. Mr. VITTER submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill H.R. 1268, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 551. Mr. DEWINE submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 439 submitted by Mr. CRAIG (for himself and Mr. AKAKA) and intended to be proposed to the bill H.R. 1268, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 552. Mr. BAUCUS submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 475 submitted by Mr. CRAIG (for himself, Mr. BAUCUS, Mr. ROBERTS, and Mr. ENZI) and intended to be proposed to the bill H.R. 1268, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 553. Mr. WYDEN submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 376 submitted by Mr. WYDEN (for himself, Mr. SMITH, and Mrs. MURRAY) and intended to be proposed to the bill H.R. 1268, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 554. Mr. WYDEN submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 376 submitted by Mr. WYDEN (for himself, Mr. SMITH, and Mrs. MURRAY) and intended to be proposed to the bill H.R. 1268, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 555. Mr. KYL submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 387 proposed by Ms. MIKULSKI (for herself, Mr. ALLEN, Mr. LEAHY, Mr. CORZINE, Mr. WARNER, Mr. JEFFORDS, Mr. SARBANES, Mr. DAYTON, Mr. KENNEDY, Ms. LANDRIEU, Mr. REED, Mr. LAUTENBERG, Mr. FEINGOLD, Mr. DORGAN, Mr. KERRY, Mr. CONRAD, Mr. THOMAS, Mr. STEVENS, Mr. DEWINE, Mr. COLEMAN, Ms. SNOWE, and Ms. COLLINS) to the bill H.R. 1268, supra.

SA 556. Mr. STEVENS submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill H.R. 1268, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 557. Ms. SNOWE submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 530 submitted by Mr. DOMENICI and intended to be proposed to the bill H.R. 1268, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 558. Ms. SNOWE submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 529 submitted by Mr. DOMENICI and intended to be proposed to the bill H.R. 1268, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 559. Mr. ROBERTS submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 437 submitted by Mr. ROCKEFELLER and intended to be proposed to the bill H.R. 1268, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 560. Mr. COCHRAN (for Mr. SHELBY (for himself, Mr. KENNEDY, Mr. DURBIN, and Mr. OBAMA)) proposed an amendment to the bill H.R. 1268, supra.

SA 561. Mr. COCHRAN (for Mr. REID) proposed an amendment to the bill H.R. 1268, supra.

SA 562. Mr. COCHRAN (for Mr. REID) proposed an amendment to the bill H.R. 1268, supra.

TEXT OF AMENDMENTS

SA 538. Mr. CORNYN submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 375 proposed by Mr. CRAIG (for himself and Mr. KENNEDY) to the bill H.R. 1268, Making emergency

supplemental appropriations for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2005, to establish and rapidly implement regulations for State driver's license and identification document security standards, to prevent terrorists from abusing the asylum laws of the United States, to unify terrorism-related grounds for inadmissibility and removal, to ensure expeditious construction of the San Diego border fence, and for other purposes; which was ordered to lie on the table; as follows:

On page 4, strike line 1 and all that follows through page 35, line 23.

SA 539. Mr. CORNYN submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 375 proposed by Mr. CRAIG (for himself and Mr. KENNEDY) to the bill H.R. 1268, Making emergency supplemental appropriations for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2005, to establish and rapidly implement regulations for State driver's license and identification document security standards, to prevent terrorists from abusing the asylum laws of the United States, to unify terrorism-related grounds for inadmissibility and removal, to ensure expeditious construction of the San Diego border fence, and for other purposes; which was ordered to lie on the table; as follows:

Beginning on page 58, strike line 10 and all that follows through page 65, line 21, and insert the following:

“(3) REQUIRED WAGES.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—An employer applying for workers shall offer to pay, and shall pay, all workers in the occupation for which the employer has applied for workers, not less than the prevailing wage.

“(B) INFORMATION FROM STATES.—In complying with subparagraph (A), an employer may request and obtain a prevailing wage determination from the State employment security agency.

“(C) INFORMATION FROM SURVEYS.—In lieu of the procedure described in subparagraph (B), an employer may rely on other wage information, including a survey of the prevailing wages of workers in the occupation in the area of intended employment that has been conducted or funded by the employer or a group of employers, that meets criteria specified by the Secretary of Labor in regulations.

“(D) COMPLIANCE.—An employer who obtains such prevailing wage determination, or who relies on a qualifying survey of prevailing wages, and who pays the wage determined to be prevailing, shall be considered to have complied with the requirement of subparagraph (A).

“(E) MINIMUM WAGES.—No worker shall be paid less than the greater of the prevailing wage or the applicable State minimum wage.

SA 540. Mr. CORNYN submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill H.R. 1268, Making emergency supplemental appropriations for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2005, to establish and rapidly implement regulations for State driver's license and identification document security standards, to prevent terrorists from abusing the asylum laws of the United States, to unify terrorism-related grounds for inadmissibility and removal, to ensure expeditious construction of the San Diego border